



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,406	09/16/2003	Joong Seo Park	YHK-0119	9669
34610	7590	02/15/2008		
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			EXAMINER SHERMAN, STEPHEN G	
			ART UNIT 2629	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/15/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10662406	9/16/2003	PARK ET AL.	YHK-0119

KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP
P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200

EXAMINER

Stephen G. Sherman

ART UNIT	PAPER
2629	20071204

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Reply Brief filed May 7, 2007 was noted by the examiner, and is being placed in the file. On page 2 of the Reply Brief the applicant argues that Figure 1 and Figure 3 of Tajima do not perform the "adjuster" function and that the Figures omit the gray level detector recited in claim 5. Specifically, on page 3 of the Reply Brief, the applicant argues that in order to achieve the invention defined in claim 5 that the gray-scale level adjustment means of Figure 3 must receive as an input a "gray level distribution of data", and then the applicant continues to argue that Tajima does not have this distribution as an input. The examiner would like to point out that this is NOT a limitation in the claim. The claim only states that the adjuster adjusts...IN ACCORDANCE WITH a gray level distribution of said data. The claim does not say that the adjuster must receive this as an input. Furthermore, on page 4 the applicant argues that Tajima does not teach of a gray level detector, and that the means 75 has an intensity data arrangement switching means 101 and frame counter 79, and that neither of these features constitutes a gray level detector. The examiner would like to point out that although Tajima does not teach of something explicitly called a "gray level detector", that as explained in the Examiner Answer, the means 75 does perform the functions of the "gray level detector" as claimed and thus can be considered a "gray level detector".

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10662406	9/16/2003	PARK ET AL.	YHK-0119

EXAMINER

Stephen G. Sherman

ART UNIT**PAPER**

2629

20071204

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Reply Brief filed May 7, 2007 was noted by the examiner, and is being placed in the file. On page 2 of the Reply Brief the applicant argues that Figure 1 and Figure 3 of Tajima do not perform the "adjuster" function and that the Figures omit the gray level detector recited in claim 5. Specifically, on page 3 of the Reply Brief, the applicant argues that in order to achieve the invention defined in claim 5 that the gray-scale level adjustment means of Figure 3 must receive as an input a "gray level distribution of data", and then the applicant continues to argue that Tajima does not have this distribution as an input. The examiner would like to point out that this is NOT a limitation in the claim. The claim only states that the adjuster adjusts...IN ACCORDANCE WITH a gray level distribution of said data. The claim does not say that the adjuster must receive this as an input. Furthermore, on page 4 the applicant argues that Tajima does not teach of a gray level detector, and that the means 75 has an intensity data arrangement switching means 101 and frame counter 79, and that neither of these features constitutes a gray level detector. The examiner would like to point out that although Tajima does not teach of something explicitly called a "gray level detector", that as explained in the Examiner Answer, the means 75 does perform the functions of the "gray level detector" as claimed and thus can be considered a "gray level detector".

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER